

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,580	03/12/2004		Perry A. Cohagan	60655.8000 2579	
20322	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
SNELL & V	<b>VILMER</b>		KIM, AHSHIK		
ONE ARIZO	NA CENT	ΓER			
400 EAST VAN BUREN				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX, AZ 850040001				2876	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A	n
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,580	COHAGAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahshik Kim	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/19/ 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,3-11,13-15 and 17-21 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-11,13-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examinet 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original sheet is objected to by the Examinet in the original sheet is objected to by the Examinet in the original sheet in the original sheet is objected to by the Examinet in the original sheet in the original sheet is objected to by the Examinet in the original sheet is objected to by the Examinet in the original sheet in	vn from consideration.  d.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sion is required if the drawing(s) is expected to be the drawing(s) is expected.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•
	arrimer. Note the attached Office	Je Action of John P10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified cop	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received.	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Interview Summer	on. (DTO 412)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	• ` '	

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

*20* 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Amendment**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on July 19, 2005. In the amendment claims 2, 12, and 16 were canceled, and claims 1, 3-10, 13-15, 17, and 18 were amended.

Currently, claims 1, 3-11, 13-15, and 17-21 remain for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor (US 5,578,808, hereinafter "Taylor").

Re claim 1, Taylor discloses a multi-service card (see abstract) wherein a user can select a service vendor and perform a desired transaction (see steps 194 thereafter in figure 7) (col. 1, lines 32+; col. 2, lines 25+). The card not only can hold multiple financial accounts, but can include data such as medical record, insurance information, driver's license or biometric information (col. 5, lines 42+). The card can also be used as a fair card for mass transit (col. 5, lines 39-40).

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 3-4, although not explicitly stated, it is understood that a mass transit includes a bus, subway or train. When the rider's account is debited, it suggests that the vendor's account is credited.

Re claims 5-7, the card also keeps track of bonus/loyalty points such as mileage account which can be redeemed for purchases (col. 5, lines 5-13). It is also known that bonus mileage can be used in purchasing other items or used in car-rentals.

4. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fredregill et al. (US 5,923,016, hereinafter "Fredregill").

Fredregill discloses a computer implemented consumer transaction point (loyalty) system (see abstract) wherein a group of retailers in a particular area (see col. 1, lines 15+) participate in the system. Obviously, the same retailers outside designated locations are non-participants. A consumer may earn bonus points in participating store A can go to another participating store B and redeem the points. Accrued points, when redeemed, assumes a monetary value for actual purchases made.

15

*20* 

10

5

5. Claims 10, 11, 13, 14, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brake, Jr. et al. (US 6,032,136, hereinafter "Brake").

Re claims 10 and 16, Brake discloses a transaction card system wherein the card can be used as a transaction card and also as a credit card (see abstract). The service can be provided by a plurality of service providers (col. 2, lines 43-58). The agreed service providers are in a partner relationship among them. When a card is used both as a transaction card and a credit card, both

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

*20* 

25

primary party's and the primary party's service partners are provide to the customers (see figure 2; col. 5, lines 30+).

Re claim 11, the card-holder can activate both transaction card and credit card by calling one customer service site (col. 4, lines 62+).

Re claim 13, the service provided can be purchasing gasoline or telephone calling time.

In the embodiment of gasoline purchase, the bill is sent to the purchaser's address, implying that the gasoline company keeps customer's account.

Re claim 14, the reward points can be earned by purchasing from the partners with consumer's credit (col. 1, lines 29-48; col. 3, lines 12-28)

Re claims 18-21, it is the Examiner's view that the methods recited in these claims appear to be generic process of replacing, canceling, or transferring a card account.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/708,580 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brake, Jr. et al. (US 6,032,136) in view of Fredregill et al. (US 5,923,016)

See paragraph 4 above.

9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brake, Jr. et al. (US 6,032,136) in view of Downing et al. (US 5,963,647).

Brake's teachings have been discussed above. Brake, however, fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest of considering anti-terrorism information when extending credit.

Downing teaches a system and the methods for transferring funds (see abstract) In determining approval for transfer, sender's identity is checked for international terrorism identity check (col. 6, lines 48-58).

In view of Downing's teaching, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate well-known anti-terrorism check to the teachings of Brake in order to prevent potential terrorist activities involving credit/financial transactions. In approving someone for credit, a basic identity check is performed. In times of increasing terrorism, one ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to screen terrorist activities at root causes if possible. Issuing a credit card, or driver's license without anti-terrorism checking would be a big loophole one should not overlook.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,580

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

*20* 

10. Applicant's amended claims and remarks filed on July 19, 2005 have been carefully reviewed and considered. However, in view of the rejection made above and following grounds, the rejection made in previous Office Action is maintained.

With regards to service partners, There are generally two types of systems: open system and closed system. Regular credit card would be considered a transaction card in open system wherein the card can be used in virtually all retailers and service providers. In open system, the concept of service partners, as recited in the claims partners, is rather weak. Closed system, on the other hand, refers to a system where the card can be used in a specific participants' businesses – a gift card issued by Home Depot®, Target®, Barns and Noble®, etc. The card is only valid at the designated stores. Obviously the card which can be used at a group of participants business would show the concept of "service partners' well. Taylor, in the Examiner's view is a hybrid system in that the card is used in any establishment where Visa and American Express are received; and it can also be used exclusively at the participating gas companies, hotel chains and airlines, which are service partners.

In figures 5, 6A, 6B, 7, and 8, various embodiments of transaction and payment for the transaction is disclosed. Embodiment shown in figure 6A may be interpreted as a transit payment (col. 5, lines 39-40). In Taylor (col. 7, lines 3-45), a description is provided to perform a credit transaction, a debit transaction, and a prepaid transaction. In case of transit which is a prepaid transaction, the steps 162 and 164 would be performed. Taylor (col. 7, lines 17-2) states "...... then at step 162 it is determined whether the financial transaction is prepaid. If so, then the current charge is debited from the account balance at step 164.", suggesting that the transfer of fund to the transit system.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,580

Art Unit: 2876

The amended claims and remarks describing these elements have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive, and therefore, the Examiner has made this Office Action final.

Page 7

Additional references are cited in this Office Action for Applicant's review, which perhaps resemble more to Applicant's embodiment of the presented claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5

10

15

Application/Control Number: 10/708,580

Art Unit: 2876

I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Hogan (US 5,5557,516); Park et al. (US 6,705,518); Jun et al. (US 5,828,044); Daggar (US 5,748,737) disclose transaction cards. Applicant is respectfully suggested to review these references.

II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahshik Kim whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim

**Primary Examiner** 

all

Page 8

Art Unit 2876

September 30, 2005

25

5

10

15

20